Case 3:21-md-02981-JD Document 161 Filed 12/16/21 Page 1 of 9

1	Karma M. Giulianelli (SBN 184175) karma.giulianelli@bartlitbeck.com BARTLIT BECK LLP 1801 Wewetta St., Suite 1200 Denver, Colorado 80202 Telephone: (303) 592-3100	Glenn D. Pomerantz (SBN 112503) glenn.pomerantz@mto.com MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 350 South Grand Avenue, Fiftieth Floor Los Angeles, California 90071 Telephone: (213) 683-9100
567	Hae Sung Nam (<i>pro hac vice</i>) hnam@kaplanfox.com KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP 850 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022 Tel.: (212) 687-1980	Brian C. Rocca (SBN 221576) brian.rocca@morganlewis.com MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP One Market, Spear Street Tower San Francisco, CA 94105-1596 Telephone: (415) 442-1000
8 9 10	Co-Lead Counsel for the Proposed Class in In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust Litigation Steve W. Berman (pro hac vice)	Daniel M. Petrocelli, Bar No. 97802 dpetrocelli@omm.com O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP 1999 Avenue of the Stars, 7th Fl. Los Angeles, CA 90067-6035
	steve@hbsslaw.com HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP	Telephone: (310) 553-6700
	1301 Second Ave., Suite 2000	Counsel for Defendants Google LLC et al.
12 13	Seattle, WA 98101 Telephone: (206) 623-7292	Counsel for Defendants Google EEC et al.
19 20 21	Eamon P. Kelly (pro hac vice) ekelly@sperling-law.com SPERLING & SLATER P.C. 55 W. Monroe, Suite 3200 Chicago, IL 60603 Telephone: 312-641-3200 Co-Lead Counsel for the Proposed Class in In re Google Play Developer Antitrust Litigation and Attorneys for Pure Sweat Basketball, Inc. Bonny E. Sweeney (SBN 176174) bsweeney@hausfeld.com HAUSFELD LLP 600 Montgomery Street, Suite 3200 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 633-1908 Co-Lead Counsel for the Proposed Class in In re Google Play Developer Antitrust Litigation and Attorneys for Peekya App Services, Inc. [Additional counsel appear on signature page]	
27		
20		

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 3 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 4 5 IN RE GOOGLE PLAY STORE Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD 6 ANTITRUST LITIGATION 7 THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: DEADLINES RELATED TO 8 In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust **CONSUMERS' AND DEVELOPERS'** Litigation, Case No. 3:20-cv-05761-JD MOTIONS FOR LEAVE TO AMEND 9 10 In re Google Play Developer Antitrust Judge: Hon. James Donato Litigation, Case No. 3:20-cv-05792-JD 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

WHEREAS, Consumer Plaintiffs and Developer Plaintiffs have filed motions for leave to amend their complaints ("Motions"), with hearings set for January 13, 2022, responses due December 20, 2022, and replies due December 27, 2022;

WHEREAS, as set forth in more detail in the Parties' December 9, 2021 Joint Case Management Statement ("JCMS"), Google has agreed not to oppose the Motions in exchange for Developer and Consumer Plaintiffs' agreement to meet certain discovery milestones related to discovery for the new named class plaintiffs, which is in turn contingent on all parties' jointly proposed case schedule described in the JCMS;

WHEREAS, the Joint Status Conference set for December 16, 2021 may obviate the need for further briefing on the Motions;

WHEREAS, Google and the Consumer and Developer Plaintiffs therefore agree to stipulate that Google may receive a one-week extension of time until December 27, 2022, to file responses to the Motions;

WHEREAS, Google and the Consumer and Developer Plaintiffs agree that, if Google files responses to the Motions, replies in support of the Motions shall be due by January 3, 2022;

THEREFORE, pursuant to Local Rule 6-2, Google and the Consumer and Developer Plaintiffs stipulate and agree, subject to the Court's approval, that Google's responses to the Motions will be due December 27, 2022, and the Consumer and Developer Plaintiffs' replies to the Motions will be due January 3, 2022.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

28

1	Dated: December 16, 2021	BARTLIT BECK LLP Karma M. Giulianelli
2		
3		KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP Hae Sung Nam
4		D (C11 1 1 1 1 1 1
5		Respectfully submitted,
6		By: /s/ Karma M. Giulianelli Karma M. Giulianelli
7		
8		Co-Lead Counsel for the Proposed Class in In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust
9		Litigation
10		
11		
12		
13		
14	Dated: December 16, 2021	PRITZKER LEVINE LLP
15		Elizabeth C. Pritzker
16		Respectfully submitted,
17		By: /s/Elizabeth C. Pritzker
18		Elizabeth C. Pritzker
19		Liaison Counsel for the Proposed Class in In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust
20		Litigation
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
20	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDI	Case Nos. 3:20-md-05671; 3:20-cv-05761; 3:20-cv-05792 ER RE: RESPONSE AND REPLY DEADLINES FOR MOTIONS TO AMEND

1 2	Dated: December 16, 2021	HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP Steve W. Berman Robert F. Lopez Benjamin J. Siegel	
3		į C	
4		SPERLING & SLATER PC Joseph M. Vanek	
5		Eamon P. Kelly Alberto Rodriguez	
6		Alocito Rodinguez	
7		Respectfully submitted,	
8		By: /s/ Steve W. Berman	
9		Steve W. Berman	
10		Co-Lead Interim Class Counsel for the	
11		Developer Class and Attorneys for Plaintiff Pure Sweat Basketball	
12			
13			
14	Dated: December 16, 2021	HAUSFELD LLP Bonny E. Sweeney	
15		Melinda R. Coolidge	
16		Katie R. Beran Scott A. Martin	
17		Irving Scher	
18		Respectfully submitted,	
19		By: /s/Bonny E. Sweeney	
20		Bonny E. Sweeney	
21		Co-Lead Interim Class Counsel for the Developer Class and Attorneys for Plaintiff	
22		Peekya App Services, Inc.	
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28		Case Nos. 3:20-md-05671; 3:20-cv-05761; 3:20-cv-05792	
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDE	ER RE: RESPONSE AND REPLY DEADLINES FOR MOTIONS TO AMEND	

1 2 3	Dated: December 16, 2021	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP Brian C. Rocca Sujal J. Shah Michelle Park Chiu Minna L. Naranjo
4		Rishi P. Satia
5		Description of the description o
6		Respectfully submitted,
7		By: /s/Brian C. Rocca Brian C. Rocca
8		Counsel for Defendants Google LLC et al.
9		
10	Dated: December 16, 2021	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
11		Daniel M. Petrocelli Ian Simmons
12		Benjamin G. Bradshaw Stephen J. McIntyre
13		Stephen 3. Memtyre
14		Respectfully submitted,
15		By: /s/ Daniel M. Petrocelli
16		Daniel M. Petrocelli
17		Counsel for Defendants Google LLC et al.
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		Case Nos. 3:20-md-05671; 3:20-cv-05761; 3:20-cv-05792

1	Dated: December 16, 2021	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
2		Glenn D. Pomerantz Kyle W. Mach
3		Kuruvilla Olasa Justin P. Raphael
4		Emily C. Curran-Huberty Jonathan I. Kravis
5		Marianna Y. Mao
6		Respectfully submitted,
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		By: /s/ Glenn D. Pomerantz
14		Glenn D. Pomerantz
15		Counsel for Defendants Google LLC et al.
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		Case Nos. 3:20-md-05671; 3:20-cv-05761; 3:20-cv-05792
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORD	ER RE: RESPONSE AND REPLY DEADLINES FOR MOTIONS TO AMEND

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: December ____, 2021 The Honorable James Donato United States District Judge Case Nos. 3:20-md-05671; 3:20-cv-05761; 3:20-cv-05792 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: RESPONSE AND REPLY DEADLINES FOR MOTIONS TO AMEND

E-FILING ATTESTATION I, Marianna Y. Mao, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this document. In compliance with Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that each of the signatories identified above has concurred in this filing. /s/ Marianna Y. Mao Case Nos. 3:20-md-05671; 3:20-cv-05761; 3:20-cv-05792 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: RESPONSE AND REPLY DEADLINES FOR MOTIONS TO AMEND